UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,426	06/10/2005 Kazuhiro Yagishita		CU-4247 RJS	3191
26530 LADAS & PAF	7590 07/09/2008 RRY LLP	i Tanananan	EXAMINER	
224 SOUTH M	ICHIGAN AVENUE	GOLOBOY, JAMES C		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/538	,426	YAGISHITA, KAZUHIRO			
		Examir	ier	Art Unit			
		James	Goloboy	1797			
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORT WHICHE\ - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO perior - Failure to r Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provision ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com d for reply is specified above, the maximum s eply within the set or extended period for repl seceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a rep d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAI	ATION.  If you be timely filed  If show the mailing date of this of the condition of the co	·		
Status							
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) fils action is <b>FINAL</b> . ce this application is in conditioned in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matter	•	e merits is		
Disposition o	of Claims						
4a) 0 5)∭ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)∭ Clai	m(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/a m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from					
		ne Evaminer					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1797

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The rejections set forth in the office action mailed 12/10/07 are maintained below. Newly added claims 12-13 are also rejected below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 3-8, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrick in view of Katafuchi.

The rejections of claims 1, 3-8, and 10-11 are adequately set forth in paragraph 2 of the office action mailed 12/10/07, which is incorporated here by reference. As discussed in the previous office action, Katafuchi discloses that the salicylate detergent has a TBN of 100 to 600, implying a metal ration that encompasses, falls within, or overlaps the range recited in newly added claim 12. Carrick, in paragraphs 79-80, discloses that the sulfonate detergent can be overbased, and therefore has a metal ration of greater than 1, meeting the limitations of newly added claim 12.

In paragraphs 101-108, Carrick teaches that the antioxidants contained in the composition can be phenolic or aminic antioxidants, as recited in component (E) of newly added claim 13. in paragraphs 109-115, Carrick discloses that the dispersants can be succinimide dispersants (paragraphs 112, 115), as recited in component (D) of claim 13. In paragraphs 116-117, Carrick discloses that the antiwear agents can be sulfur/phosphorus-containing compounds, also as recited in claim 13. The composition of Carrick and Katafuchi therefore meets the limitation of claim 13.

Art Unit: 1797

3. Claims 1-2, 4-8, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrick in view of Chambard.

The rejection of claims 1-2, 4-8, and 10-11 are adequately set forth in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 12/10/07, which is incorporated here by reference. As discussed in the previous office action, Chambard discloses that the salicylate detergent has a TBN of 160 to 400, implying a metal ration that encompasses, falls within, or overlaps the range recited in newly added claim 12. Carrick, in paragraphs 79-80, discloses that the sulfonate detergent can be overbased, and therefore has a metal ration of greater than 1, meeting the limitations of newly added claim 12.

In paragraphs 101-108, Carrick teaches that the antioxidants contained in the composition can be phenolic or aminic antioxidants, as recited in component (E) of newly added claim 13. in paragraphs 109-115, Carrick discloses that the dispersants can be succinimide dispersants (paragraphs 112, 115), as recited in component (D) of claim 13. In paragraphs 116-117, Carrick discloses that the antiwear agents can be sulfur/phosphorus-containing compounds, also as recited in claim 13. The composition of Carrick and Chambard therefore meets the limitation of claim 13.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrick in view of Tamoto.

This rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 12/10/07, which is incorporated here by reference.

Art Unit: 1797

In paragraphs 101-108, Carrick teaches that the antioxidants contained in the composition can be phenolic or aminic antioxidants, as recited in component (E) of newly added claim 13. in paragraphs 109-115, Carrick discloses that the dispersants can be succinimide dispersants (paragraphs 112, 115), as recited in component (D) of claim 13. In paragraphs 116-117, Carrick discloses that the antiwear agents can be sulfur/phosphorus-containing compounds, also as recited in claim 13. The composition of Carrick and Tamoto therefore meets the limitation of claim 13.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/26/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited references do not specify the positions of the alkyl substituents of the salicylate. However, given the small number of possibilities for the positions of the two alkyl groups, it is as if the specific claimed compound were disclosed, and the claim is therefore rendered obvious. Applicant also argues that Tamoto does not discloses the specific combination of hydrocarbon groups recited in claim 9. As stated in the previous office action, Tamoto discloses a range of carbon atoms for the alkyl groups that encompasses or overlaps the both ranges recited in the claim, and therefore renders the claim obvious.

## Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1797

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is (571)272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JCG** 

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797